

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/689,047	SASAKI ET AL.
	Examiner Rudy Zervigon	Art Unit 1763

All Participants:

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Ronald P. Kananen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 August 2006

Time: 15:50

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

I called Mr. Kananen to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Kananen returned my phone call later in the afternoon confirming that no response was filed and no response was intended to be filed.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)